



## Bubble Sort Machine (Solution)

### Subtask 1: At most 10 presses of Button 1

We can simulate Button 1 as defined, in  $O(N)$  time per press. By precomputing a prefix sum table after each simulation, we can answer each query involving Button 2 in  $O(1)$  time.

### Subtask 2: $L_j = R_j = 1$

In this editorial, to reduce the number of edge cases, we assume  $A_{N+1} = A_{N+2} = \dots = \infty$ .

Let  $k$  be the number of times Button 1 is pressed. The answer to the query is  $\min(A_1, \dots, A_{1+k})$ . We prove this below.

Let  $A_i = \min(A_1, \dots, A_{1+k})$ , and among such  $i$ , choose the smallest. This  $A_i$  continues moving left until it reaches the beginning of the array (i.e., position  $a_1$ ), which happens after pressing Button 1 exactly  $i - 1$  times. After reaching  $a_1$ , it will not be swapped with  $a_2$  because no elements from  $A_{2+k}, A_{3+k}, \dots$  can reach  $a_2$  by the  $k$ -th press.

To answer each query efficiently, we can precompute a prefix min table, allowing us to solve the problem in  $O(N + Q)$  time.

### Subtask 3: $1 \leq A_i \leq 2$

Analyzing the movement of 1s when Button 1 is pressed, we observe:

- If there is at least one 2 to the left at the time of the press, the 1 moves one step to the left.
- Otherwise, it stays in place.

From this, we can easily compute the final position of each 1 after  $k$  presses of Button 1. Using binary search, we can compute the number of 1s in a given range  $[l, r]$  in  $O(\log N)$  time per query.

With further analysis, and by discovering properties similar to those shown in Subtasks 5 and 6, it is also possible to answer each query in  $O(1)$  time.



## Subtask 4: $L_j = R_j$

We consider solving this using binary search on the answer. To do this, it suffices to determine whether the answer is at least  $x$ .

We transform the array by replacing values less than  $x$  with 1, and values at least  $x$  with 2. This reduces the problem to the same situation as in Subtask 3, and we only need to compute  $a_i$  in that setting.

A 1 reaches position  $a_i$  in the following two cases:

- It moved left exactly  $k$  times.
- It moved left fewer than  $k$  times and then stayed there.

These can be checked by conditions such as whether  $A_{i+k} = 1$ , or whether there are at least  $i$  1s among  $A_1, \dots, A_{i+k}$ .

If we process queries in increasing order of  $i + k$ , we can answer each in  $O(\log N)$  time.

## Subtasks 5 and 6

To compute the sum of elements from position  $L_j$  to  $R_j$ , we can perform two queries of the form “sum of the first  $n_j$  elements.”

So we focus on understanding the configuration of the first  $n_j$  elements after  $k$  presses of Button 1.

We can prove the following: For any  $n$  and  $k$ , the following two multisets are identical:

- $a_1, \dots, a_n$  after pressing Button 1  $k$  times.
- The first  $n$  elements when  $A_1, \dots, A_{n+k}$  are sorted in ascending order.

To prove this, it suffices to show that the number of elements less than any value  $x$  is the same in both multisets. It is enough to show this for the case where  $A_i \in \{1, 2\}$ . This can be shown using the observations in Subtask 3 and reasoning similar to Subtask 2.

Ultimately, the problem reduces to computing the sum of the smallest  $n$  elements in  $A_1, \dots, A_{n+k}$ .

There are various ways to handle this type of query. For example, if we sort queries by increasing  $n + k$ , then we can use a segment tree or Fenwick tree to answer each query in  $O(\log N)$  time.

Some solutions may result in  $O(\log^2 N)$  time per query, but with a small enough constant factor, they are fast enough to score full marks or at least pass Subtask 5.